## Presidency College, Motbung Students Satisfaction Survey on Teaching Learning Process



1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6,

14

			Date	ofSubm	ission:
A.	Name (Optional):				
B.	Gender:	Female	ii. N	fale	iii. Transgender
C.					
D.		Arts	11 S	cience	
E.	Subjects you are studying:			ciciliee.	
	1. General Paper		64		
			Electiv	e I:	
		<del></del> 4.	Electiv	¢31	
	5. Honours Paper:	i i i i			
	Instructions for filling up the question	naire belo	w:		
	<ul> <li>* Each question has 5 responses. Choo</li> </ul>	se the most	appror	riate one	
	* The response to the qualitative question	on no.26 is:	an oppo	rtunity to	aive your suggestions
	* Restrict your response to teaching lear	ming proce	ee only	cruinty to	give your suggestions,
How	much of the syllabus was covered in the las	ining proce			
class	for your General paper?	¢	iii.	Poorly	
1	85-100%		iv.	Indiffer	ently
ii.	70-84%		V.		each at all
m	55-69%	7.	How	well were	the teachers able to communicate
iv	30-54%		for El	ective 1?	
V.	Below 30%		î.	Always	effective
			ii,	Someti	mes effective
forG	well did the teacher prepare for the classes eneral paper?	8	iii.	Satisfa	ctory
i	Thoroughly		iv."	Ineffect	ive
ii.	Satisfactorily		V.	Very po	oor
iii.	Poorly	8.	Fairn	ess of the	internal evaluation process by
iv.	Indifferently		the te	achers for	Elective lare
1.	Won't teach at all		I.		effective
Llow	won't leach at an		ñ.		mes effective
form	well were the teachers able to communicate		iii.	Satisfac	ctory
	neral paper?		iv.	Ineffect	
L IL	Always effectively		V.	Very po	or
m.	Sometimes effectively	9.	How	muchoft	he syllabus was covered in the
iv,	Satisfactorily		last cl	ass for yo	ur Elective 2?
V.	Ineffectively		i.	85-100	
	Very poorly		ñ.	70-84%	6
togoh	ess of the internal evaluation process by the	k	m.	55-69%	
icacti	ers for General paper are		iv.	30-54%	6
i.	Always effective		V.	Below:	30%
iii	Sometimes effective	10.	How	well did th	e teacher prepare for the classes
iv.	Satisfactory Ineffective		for El	ective 2?	
V.			i.	Thoroug	zhly
	Very poor		ii.	Satisfac	
closed	much of the syllabus was covered in the last		iii.	Poorly	
( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) = ( ) =() =() =() =() =() =() =() =() =()	for your Elective 1? 85-100%		iv.	Indiffere	ently
L IL			V.		each at all
ш. Ш.	70-84%	11.	How		he teachers able to communicate
iv.	55-69% 30-54%		for Ele	ective 2?	
			i.		effective
V. Howy	Below 30%		ü.		nes effective
for El	well did the teacher prepare for the classes ective 1?		iii.	Satisfac	
and the second se			iv.	Ineffecti	
L	Thoroughly		V.	Very po	
ñ.	Satisfactorily			1	01

12.	Fairness of the internal evaluation process by	20.	Do your teachers able to identify your
	the teachers for Elective 2 are	10000000	weaknesses and help you to overcome them?
	i. Always effective		i. Every time
	ii. Sometimes effective		ii. Usually
	iii. Satisfactory		iii. Occasionally
	iv. Ineffective		iv. Rarely
	v. Very poor		v. Never
13.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the	21.	Do you agree that the institution makes effort to
	last class for your Elective 3?	en.	engage students in the monitoring, review and
	i. 85-100%		continuous quality improvement of the teaching
	ii. 70-84%		learning process?
	iii. 55-69%		i. Strongly agree
	iv. 30-54%		ii. Agree
	v. Below 30%		iii. Neutral
14.	How well did the teacher prepare for the		iv. Disagree
A STAR	classes for Elective 3?		v. Strongly disagree
	i. Thoroughly	22	Does the institute/ teachers use student centric
	ii. Satisfactorily		
	iii. Poorly		methods, such as experiencing learning?
	iv. Indifferently		i. To a great extent ii. Moderately
	v. Won't teach at all		
15.			
12.	How well were the teachers able to		iv. Very little
	communicate for Elective 3?	33	v. Notatall
	i. Always effective	23.	Do your teachers encourage you to participate in
	ii. Sometimes effective iii. Satisfactory		extra-curricular activities?
			i To a great extent
	iv. Ineffective		ii. Moderately
16	v. Very poor		ii. To some extent
16.	Fairness of the internal evaluation process by		iv. Very little
	the teachers for Elective 3 are	2004	v. Not at all
	i. Always effective ii. Sometimes effective	24.	How much of your teachers use ICT tools such
			as LCD projector, multimedia, etc. ?
			i Above 90%
	iv. Ineffective		ii. 70-89%
1.77	v. Very poor		iii. 50-69%
k7-	How does the teaching and mentoring process		iv. 30-49%
	in your institution facilitate you in cognitive,		v. Below 29%
	social and emotional growth?	25.	How will you rate the overall quality of teaching-
	i. Significantly		learning process in your institute?
	ii, Very well		i. Excellent
	iii. Moderately		ii. Very good
	iv. Marginally		iii. Good
10	v. Not at all		iv. Fair
18.	Do you agree that the institution provides you		v. Poor
	multiple opportunities to learn and grow?	26.	Give three observations/ suggestions to improve
	i. Strongly agree		the overall teaching-learning experience in your
	ii. Agree		institutions.
	iii. Neutral		
	iv. Disagree		ing terrisoner er till i vid i stans regi 👘 🔅
12227	<ul> <li>v Strongly disagree</li> </ul>		
19.	Do the teachers illustrate the concepts through		
	examples and applications?		2443.07 2
	i. Everytime		b)
	ii. Usually		
	iii. Occasionally		and a second
	iv. Rarely		() All and the solution of the fifther work (2)
	v. Never		
		7.46 (242) 242 (242)	
	*	* * * *	